

CASE REPORT / ПРИКАЗ БОЛЕСНИКА

Surgical extraction of the impacted mandibular third molar – vestibular bone window technique

Bojan Janjić¹, Branislav Ilić¹, Aleksa Marković¹, Bojan Gačić¹, Radojica Dražić¹, Dušan Kosanović²¹University of Belgrade, School of Dental Medicine, Clinic for Oral Surgery, Belgrade, Serbia;²University of Belgrade, School of Dental Medicine, Clinic for Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Belgrade, Serbia**SUMMARY**

Introduction Surgical extraction of impacted mandibular third molar can lead to the periodontal defect on distal aspect of the mandibular second molar causing pocket formation, tooth sensitivity, food retention, postoperative infections. Different surgical techniques have been proposed to reduce periodontal complications.

Case outline We presented five cases treated with Vestibular Bone Window Technique. Considering data from the literature review, benefits and limitations of the technique are discussed and compared to the Standard Surgical Technique.

Conclusion Vestibular Bone Window Technique might be recommended surgical procedure for the extraction of impacted mandibular third molar when bucco-distal bone wall is present preoperatively. Taking into account only five cases, future work should consider a randomized clinical trial with the larger stratified samples.

Keywords: mandibular third molar; periodontal defect; vestibular bone window technique

INTRODUCTION

Surgical extraction of impacted mandibular third molar (M3M) is one of the most frequent procedures in oral surgery. It is followed by pain, swelling, trismus, or alveolitis that impairs the patient's overall quality of life [1, 2]. If the impaction is deep, periodontal defect on distal aspect of the mandibular second molar (M2M) can occur postoperatively resulting in tooth sensitivity/mobility, food retention, fetor, or postoperative infections. Different treatment strategies, such as vestibular bone window technique (VBWT) have been proposed to reduce secondary periodontal complications [3].

CASE REPORT

Five patients (20–29 years old) were treated at the Clinic for Oral Surgery, School of Dental Medicine in Belgrade, where surgical extraction of impacted M3M was performed using VBWT. The procedure was carried out under local anesthesia and full-thickness envelope flap (Figure 1). Prior to surgery, the depth of periodontal pocket on the distal side of M2M was measured. Comparing to the standard surgical technique (SST) in which osteotomy is performed on the crestal part of the alveolar ridge (distally from M2M), in VBWT osteotomy is performed only as a small window on vestibular cortex (3–5 mm below the highest point of bone). The procedure was done using the round bur and a handpiece with co-

pious saline irrigation. The bone tunnel was prepared obliquely and downwards, till contact with the impacted tooth. If M3M was fully impacted, additional osteotomy above the crown was performed, on the bucco-distal side of the crown. Depending on the position, tooth was divided using a tungsten fissure bur. Throughout the bony window, a straight elevator was introduced pushing first the crown, and the roots upwards and dislodging them outside the alveolus (Figure 2). After the inspection and curettage of granulation tissue, the wound was rinsed with saline and single sutures were used. The postoperative period was uneventful in all five cases. Sutures were removed on the seventh day and additional periodontal probe measurement was conducted one month after the surgery (Figure 3).

All the subjects from the study had bucco-distal bony bridge present before the surgery. Using the VBWT, we managed to preserve it and prevent soft tissue from collapsing. The results of this approach were confirmed on periodontal measurements, one month after the surgery. The patients did not declare any complaints postoperatively (short-term nor long-term). Postoperative pain, swelling, and trismus were recorded and estimated as acceptable (similar to the ones in SST) but were not evaluated additionally.

We confirm that we have read the journal's position on issues involving ethical publication and affirm that this work is consistent with those guidelines.

Received • Примљено:
December 28, 2020

Revised • Ревизија:
June 20, 2021

Accepted • Прихваћено:
June 21, 2021

Online first: July 1, 2021

Correspondence to:

Branislav Ilić
Clinic for Oral Surgery
Doktora Subotića 4
11000 Belgrade
Serbia
branislav.ilic@stomf.bg.ac.rs




Figure 1. Preoperative X-ray and intraoperative insight: a – mandibular lower left third molar with Pell-Gregory II-b type of the impaction; b – bucco-distal bone is present preoperatively

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Written consent to publish all shown material was obtained from the patient.

DISCUSSION

Our findings indicate that VBWT preserves periodontal tissues on the distal aspect of M2M. The creation of a bony window on the vestibular aspect of the impacted third molar maintains bucco-distal bony bridge. That bone structure is essential because it supports soft tissues, preventing them from collapsing (Figure 4). Furthermore, in all of the five cases, periodontal pockets depth on the distal side of M2M were the same as before surgery. There were no changes in soft tissue architecture on one-month follow-up, and post-operative discomfort abstained.

since they are not related to the osteotomy site. Then again, alteration of a bone window to the vestibular cortex and preservation of bucco-distal bony bridge inexplicably promote periodontal healing on distal aspects of M2M, maintaining the soft tissue structures, thus resulting in less postoperative complications.

Periodontal status of M2M after wisdom tooth surgical extraction is not only affected by osteotomy location but with flap design, alveolar preservation, or suturing techniques. When standard osteotomy is performed (bucco-distal from the second molar), the periodontal status of M2M is unpredictable. It depends on the patient's age, third molar impaction type and depth, and pre-surgical periodontal defects [5]. However, there are no clear scientific data to explain the outcome of third molar surgical extraction on M2M periodontal status [6, 7, 8]. It is also unclear how the flap design [9, 10, 11] or suturing technique [12] will alter the results.

Although we managed to maintain bucco-distal bone bridge in all of the five cases and retain periodontal health



Figure 2. Surgical procedure for vestibular bone window technique: a and b – bone tunnel preparation; c – tooth extraction through bony window



Figure 3. Postoperative insight and periodontal measurement: a – the wounds are sutured with single sutures; b – postoperative periodontal measurement on follow-up was conducted




Figure 4. Bucco-distal bony bridge: using vestibular bone window technique, preservation of bucco-distal bony bridge was maintained

of M2M, this investigation was conducted only on the subjects that had this part of the bone present preoperatively. We assumed there was no point in testing VBWT on semi-impacted wisdom teeth that are already missing this part of the bone. However, SST in that clinical condition requires additional osteotomy that will generate larger post-extraction defect on alveolar bone distal to the second molar. In those assets, it is reasonable to anticipate the loss of periodontal support and periodontal pocket formation. We believe that VBWT could benefit periodontal health of the second molar in those impaction types where M3M undermines the second molar. Future work should consider a randomized clinical trial with the stratified samples that will compare M2M periodontal health (pre and post-operatively) between VBWT and SST groups in different preoperative clinical conditions.

REFERENCES

- Duarte-Rodrigues L, Miranda EFP, Souza TO, de Paiva HN, Falci SGM, Galvão EL. Third molar removal and its impact on quality of life: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Qual Life Res.* 2018;27(10):2477–89.
- Ghaemina H, Nienhuijs ME, Toedtling V, Perry J, Tummers M, Hoppenreijts TJ, et al. Surgical removal versus retention for the management of asymptomatic disease-free impacted wisdom teeth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020;5(5):CD003879.
- Barbato L, Kalemaj Z, Buti J, Baccini M, La Marca M, Duvina M, et al. Effect of Surgical Intervention for Removal of Mandibular Third Molar on Periodontal Healing of Adjacent Mandibular Second Molar: A Systematic Review and Bayesian Network Meta-Analysis. *J Periodontol.* 2016;87(3):291–302.
- Peñarrocha Diago M, Galán Gil S, Peñarrocha Diago M. Vestibular bone window for the extraction of impacted lower third molars: four case reports. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2008;13(8):E508–10.
- White RP Jr, Fisher EL, Phillips C, Tucker M, Moss KL, Offenbacher S. Visible third molars as risk indicator for increased periodontal probing depth. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2011;69(1):92–103.
- Tabrizi R, Arabion H, Gholami M. How will mandibular third molar surgery affect mandibular second molar periodontal parameters? *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2013;10(4):523–6.
- Stella PEM, Falci SGM, Oliveira de Medeiros LE, Douglas-de-Oliveira DW, Gonçalves PF, Flecha OD, et al. Impact of mandibular third molar extraction in the second molar periodontal status: A prospective study. *J Indian Soc Periodontol.* 2017;21(4):285–90.
- Pham TAV, Nguyen NH. Periodontal Status of the Adjacent Second Molar after Impacted Mandibular Third Molar Surgical Extraction. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2019;10(2):311–8.
- Chen YW, Lee CT, Hum L, Chuang SK. Effect of flap design on periodontal healing after impacted third molar extraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2017;46(3):363–72.
- Leechanavanichpan P, Rodanant P, Leelarungsun R, Wongsirichat N. Postoperative Pain Perception and Patient's Satisfaction After Mandibular Third Molar Surgery by Primary Closure With Distal Wedge Surgery. *J Clin Med Res.* 2019;11(7):489–94.
- Glera-Suárez P, Soto-Peñaloza D, Peñarrocha-Oltra D, Peñarrocha-Diago M. Patient morbidity after impacted third molar extraction with different flap designs. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2020;25(2):e233–e239.
- Cetinkaya BO, Sumer M, Tutkun F, Sandikci EO, Misir F. Influence of different suturing techniques on periodontal health of the adjacent second molars after extraction of impacted mandibular third molars. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2009;108(2):156–61.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Хирушка екстракција импактираног доњег умњака – техника вестибуларног коштаног прозора

Бојан Јањић¹, Бранислав Илић¹, Алекса Марковић¹, Бојан Гачић¹, Радојица Дражић¹, Душан Косановић²

¹Универзитет у Београду, Стоматолошки факултет, Клиника за оралну хирургију, Београд, Србија;

²Универзитет у Београду, Стоматолошки факултет, Клиника за дечју и превентивну стоматологију, Београд, Србија

САЖЕТАК

Увод Хирушко вађење импактираног доњег умњака може проузроковати појаву пародонталног дефекта дистално од другог молара. Он доводи до настанка пародонталног џепа, осетљивости зуба, западања хране, постоперативне инфекције. Предложене су различите хируршке технике како би се спречио настанак ових компликација.

Приказ болесника У раду је приказано пет пацијената којима су хируршки извађени импактирани доњи умњаци техником вестибуларног коштаног прозора. Разматрајући

податке из литературе, описали смо предности и мане ове технике поредећи је са стандардном хируршком техником.

Закључак Техника вестибуларног коштаног прозора може се препоручити за хируршку екстракцију импактираног доњег умњака онда када је буккодистална кост присутна преоперативно. С обзиром на мали узорак од свега пет пацијената, препорука је да се будуће истраживање реализује као рандомизована клиничка студија на стратификованом узорку.

Кључне речи: импактирани доњи умњак; пародонтални дефект; техника вестибуларног коштаног прозора